

**Re: fact sheey**

Liu, Xiaoyu <Liu.Xiaoyu@epa.gov>

Fri 5/4/2012 8:37 AM

To: Zhishi Guo <Guo.ZhishiLNDU@usepa.onmicrosoft.com>

effective for "**certain?**" PCB-containing paint? - I'm not sure if it is necessary.

Others good for me.

From: Zhishi Guo/RTP/USEPA/US

To: Xiaoyu Liu/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 05/04/2012 08:24 AM

Subject: Re: fact sheey

How about this:

[attachment "EPA PCB Research - 5-3-2012-zg.docx" deleted by Xiaoyu Liu/RTP/USEPA/US]

From: Xiaoyu Liu/RTP/USEPA/US

To: Zhishi Guo/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 05/04/2012 08:15 AM

Subject: Re: fact sheey

Zhishi,

1. Should we mention NASA for AMTS?
2. Will your addition be too strong? or just say "useful for contaminated paint"?

Xiaoyu

From: Zhishi Guo/RTP/USEPA/US

To: Xiaoyu Liu/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 05/04/2012 07:52 AM

Subject: fact sheey

Xiaoyu:

How about adding a bullet in the implications section?

The AMTS method has the potential to be useful in for PCB decontamination in buildings, but more research is needed.

Zhishi